

REMARKS

The present application was filed on May 31, 2001 with claims 1-34. Claims 1-34 remain pending and claims 1, 11, 16, 23 and 30 have been amended and are the pending independent claims

In the outstanding Office Action dated November 19, 2004, the Examiner: (i) objected to the specification; (ii) rejected claims 11-15 and 30-34 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph; (iii) rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,108,687 to Craig (hereinafter "Craig"); and (iv) rejected claims 3, 6, 11-15, 18, 21, 22, 25 and 28-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,173,317 to Chaddha et al. (hereinafter "Chaddha").

With respect to the objection to the specification. The specification has been amended in accordance with the suggestions of the Examiner. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to specification is therefore respectfully requested.

With respect to the rejection of claims 11-15 and 30-34 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, independent claims 11 and 30 have been amended. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection to claims 11-15 and 30-34 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph is therefore respectfully requested.


With respect to the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Craig, Applicants assert that Craig fails to disclose the limitations of independent claims 1, 16 and 23, as amended. Independent claims 1, 16 and 23 have been amended to recite that one or more of the series of web pages are transmitted to one or more audience user client computers in response to audience user client computer requests received throughout the presentation. Support for the amendment can be found in FIGS. 7 and 8 and page 18, first paragraph. While Craig discloses that a student workstation may depart from a synchronized lecture, Craig also states in column 8, line 66 through column 9, line 2 that "[t]he intended operation of the invention, however, requires no further input from the student beyond the initial download and connection to the presentation." Thus, Craig teaches away from a system that provides one or more of the web pages to one or more audience user client computers in response to one or more audience user client computer requests received throughout the presentation.

Dependent claims 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 17, 19, 20, 24, 26 and 27 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency from independent claims 1, 16 and 23, and also recite patentable subject matter in their own right. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) is therefore respectfully requested.

With respect to the rejection of claims 3, 6, 11-15, 18, 21, 22, 25 and 28-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of Chaddha, Applicants assert that the combination of Craig and Chaddha fails to disclose the limitations of independent claims 11 and 30. Independent claims 11 and 30 have been amended in the same manner described above with regard to claims 1, 16 and 23. The combination of Craig and Chaddha fails to disclose that one or more of the series of web pages are transmitted to one or more audience user client computers in response to audience user client computer requests received throughout the presentation. Dependent claims 3, 6, 12-15, 18, 21, 22, 25, 28, 29 and 31-34 are patentable at least by virtue of the dependency from independent claims 1, 11, 16, 23 and 30, and also recite patentable subject matter in their own right. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection to claims 3, 6, 11-15, 18, 21, 22, 25 and 28-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is therefore respectfully requested.

In view of the above, Applicants believe that claims 1-34 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request withdrawal of the §112, §102(e) and §103(a) rejections.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: February 22, 2005

Robert W. Griffith  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 48,956  
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP  
90 Forest Avenue  
Locust Valley, NY 11560  
(516) 759-4547